

November 22, 2004

**Principles for Stable Capital Flows and Fair Debt Restructuring  
in Emerging Markets**

**Preface**

Since the mid-1990s, sovereign debtors and their private sector creditors have generally sought to put in place policies and procedures likely to promote and maintain sustained market access.

Most issuers have recognized the importance of implementing sound economic and financial policies (including monetary, exchange rate and debt management policies), as well as developing domestic public support for those policies. Equally important are policies that preserve the rule of law and, in particular, maintain the sanctity of contracts, as well as other measures needed to advance an open investment environment. In maintaining sound policies, debtors have been guided by internationally accepted standards and codes to strengthen financial stability and to enhance transparency by providing timely economic and financial data.

For their part, most creditors make investment and lending decisions on their own merit, accept full responsibility for these decisions, and do not expect official sector bailouts. As part of this process, creditors have sought to implement good practices in risk management, including thorough analysis of a borrowing country's implementation of sound economic and financial policies, as well as adherence to key standards and codes.

More recently in a significant step toward strengthening the resilience of the system, most debtors and their creditors have opted for the voluntary inclusion of collective action clauses (CACs) in international bond terms and conditions. These bonds have provided for amending payment terms through supermajority voting and for limiting precipitous legal actions through higher acceleration hurdles; a few bonds have also included provisions for debtor-creditor engagement.

In a growing number of cases, both issuers and creditors have pursued effective, two-way communication through robust investor relations programs (IRPs). This communication includes information and data on the issuer's key economic and financial policies and performance, with creditors providing feedback.

These Principles outline actions and behavior of private sector creditors and emerging market sovereign debtors to promote and maintain stable private capital flows to emerging market economies in the context of growth and financial stability. They are based on extensive and broadly based discussions among private creditors and sovereign emerging market issuers. Because individual cases will invariably involve different circumstances, the Principles should be applied flexibly on a case-by case basis, and are strictly voluntary. Accordingly, no party is legally bound by any of the provisions of these Principles, whether as a matter of contract, comity, or otherwise. Moreover, nothing in these Principles (or in any party's endorsement thereof) shall be deemed to constitute a waiver of any such party's legal rights.

The Principles build on the progress since the mid-1990s to identify effective measures in order to shore up crisis prevention and encourage their continued implementation. The Principles

promote early crisis containment through information disclosure, debtor-creditor consultations, and course correction before problems become unmanageable. They also support creditor actions that can help to minimize market contagion. In cases where the debtor can no longer fulfill its payment obligations, the Principles outline a process for market-based restructuring based on negotiations between the borrowing country and its creditors that involve shared information, are conducted in good faith, and seek to achieve a fair outcome for all parties. Such a process maximizes the likelihood that market access will be restored as soon as possible under sustainable macroeconomic conditions.

## **Principles**

### **1. Transparency and Timely Flow of Information**

*General disclosure practice.* Issuers should ensure through disclosure of relevant information that creditors are in a position to make informed assessments of their economic and financial situation, including overall levels of indebtedness. Such disclosure is important in order to establish a common understanding of the country's balance of payments outlook and to allow creditors to make informed and prudent risk management and investment decisions.

*Specific disclosure practice.* In the context of a restructuring, the debtor should disclose to all affected creditors maturity and interest rate structures of all external financial sovereign obligations, including the proposed treatment of such obligations; and the central aspects, including assumptions, of its economic policies and programs. The debtor should inform creditors regarding agreements reached with other creditors, the IMF, and the Paris Club, as appropriate. Confidentiality of material non-public information must be ensured.

### **2. Close Debtor-Creditor Dialogue and Cooperation to Avoid Restructuring**

*Regular dialogue.* Debtors and creditors should engage in a regular dialogue regarding information and data on key economic and financial policies and performance. IRPs have emerged as a proven vehicle, and countries should implement such programs.

*Best practices for investor relations.* Communication techniques should include creating an investor relations office with a qualified core staff; disseminating accurate and timely data/information through e-mail or investor relations websites; establishing formal channels of communication between policymakers and investors through bilateral meetings, investor teleconferences, and videoconferences; and maintaining a comprehensive list of contact information for relevant market participants. Investors are encouraged to participate in IRPs and provide feedback on such information and data. Debtors and investors should collaborate to refine these techniques over time.

*Policy action and feedback.* Borrowing countries should implement economic and financial policies, including structural measures, so as to ensure macroeconomic stability, promote sustainable economic growth, and thereby bolster market confidence. It is vital that political support for these measures be developed. Countries should closely monitor the effectiveness of policies, strengthen them as necessary, and seek investor feedback as warranted.

*Consultations:* Building on IRPs, debtors should consult with creditors to explore alternative market-based approaches to address debt-service problems before default occurs. The goal of such consultations is to avoid misunderstanding about policy directions, build market confidence on the strength of policy measures, and support continuous market access. Consultations will not focus on specific financial transactions, and their precise format will depend on existing circumstances. In any event, participants must not take advantage of such consultations to gain a commercial benefit for trading purposes. Applicable legal restrictions regarding material non-public information must be observed.

*Creditors' support of debtor reform efforts.* As efforts to consult with investors and to upgrade policies take hold, the creditor community should consider, to the extent consistent with their business objectives and legal obligations, appropriate requests for the voluntary, temporary maintenance of trade and inter-bank advances, and/or the rollover of short-term maturities on public and private sector obligations, if necessary to support a borrowing country's efforts to avoid a broad debt restructuring. The prospects of a favorable response to such requests will be enhanced by the commitment to a strong adjustment program, but will also depend in part on continued interest payments on inter-bank advances and continued service of other debt.

### **3. Good Faith Actions**

*Voluntary, good faith process.* When a restructuring becomes inevitable, debtors and creditors should engage in a restructuring process that is voluntary and based on good faith. Such a process is based on sound policies that seek to establish conditions for renewed market access on a timely basis, viable macroeconomic growth, and balance of payments sustainability in the medium term. Debtors and creditors agree that timely good faith negotiations are the preferred course of action toward these goals, potentially limiting litigation risk. They should cooperate in order to identify the best means for, placing the country on a sustainable balance of payments path, while also preserving and protecting asset values during the restructuring process. In this context, debtors and creditors strongly encourage the IMF to implement fully its policies for lending into arrears to private creditors where IMF programs are in place, including the criteria for good faith negotiations.

*Sanctity of contracts.* Subject to their voluntary amendment, contractual rights must remain fully enforceable to ensure the integrity of the negotiating and restructuring process. In cases where program negotiations with the IMF are underway or a program is in place, debtors and creditors rely upon the IMF in its traditional role as guardian of the system to support the debtor's reasonable efforts to avoid default and not to give any appearance of encouraging a debtor to default.

*Vehicles for restructurings.* The appropriate format and role of negotiation vehicles such as a creditor committee or another representative creditor group (hereafter referred to as a "creditor committee") should be determined flexibly and on a case-by case basis. Structured, early negotiations with a creditor committee should take place when a default has occurred in order to ensure that the terms for amending existing debt contracts and/or a voluntary debt exchange are consistent with market realities and the restoration of growth and market access and take into

account existing CAC provisions. If a creditor committee is formed, both creditors and the debtor should cooperate in its establishment.

*Creditor committee policies and practices.* If a creditor committee is formed, it should adopt rules and practices, including appropriate mechanisms to protect material non-public information; coordinate across affected instruments and with other affected creditor classes with a view to form a single committee; be a forum for the debtor to present its economic program and financing proposals; collect and analyze economic data; gather, evaluate, and disseminate creditor input on financing proposals; and generally act as a communication link between the debtor and the creditor community. Past experience also demonstrates that, when a creditor committee has been formed, debtors have borne the reasonable costs of a single creditor committee. Creditors and debtors agree jointly what constitute reasonable costs based on generally accepted practices.

*Debtor and creditor actions during restructuring.* Debtors should resume, to the extent feasible, partial debt service as a sign of good faith and resume full payment of principal and interest as conditions allow. Debtors and creditors recognize in that context that typically during a restructuring, trade lines are fully serviced and maintained. Debtors should avoid additional exchange controls on outflows, except for temporary periods in exceptional circumstances. Regardless of the specific restructuring mechanics and procedures used (i.e. amendment of existing instruments or exchange for new ones; pre-default consultations or post-default committee negotiations), restructuring terms should be subject to a constructive dialogue focused on achieving a critical mass of market support before final terms are announced. Debtors should retain legal and/or financial advisors.

#### **4. Fair treatment**

*Avoiding unfair discrimination among affected creditors.* The borrowing country should avoid unfair discrimination among affected creditors. This includes seeking rescheduling from all official bilateral creditors. In line with general practice, such credits as short-term trade related facilities and interbank advances should be excluded from the restructuring agreement and treated separately if needed.

*Fairness of voting.* Bonds, loans, and other financial instruments owned or controlled by the sovereign should not influence the outcome of a vote among creditors on a restructuring.